PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Comparison single- and double- spot detection efficiencies of SSPD based to MoSi and NbN films

To cite this article: M I Polyakova et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1695 012146

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>2.4–3.2 GHz robust self-injecting injectionlocked phase-locked loop</u> Jincheng Yang, Zhao Zhang, Nan Qi et al.
- <u>Superconducting nano-strip particle</u> detectors R Cristiano, M Ejrnaes, A Casaburi et al.
- <u>Ultrathin NbN film superconducting single-photon detector array</u>
 K Smirnov, A Korneev, O Minaeva et al.

This content was downloaded from IP address 82.142.166.158 on 07/08/2023 at 12:44

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Comparison single- and double- spot detection efficiencies of SSPD based to MoSi and NbN films

M I Polyakova1, A A Korneev1,2, A V Semenov2,3

1 National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow 101000 Russia

2 Moscow Pedagogical State University, Moscow 119991

3 Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow region, Dolgoprudny, 141700, Russia

Abstract. In this work, we present results of quantum detector tomography of superconducting single photon detector (SSPD) based on MoSi film, and compare them with previously reported data on NbN. We find that for both materials hot spot interaction length coincides with the strip width, and the dependence of single and double-spot detection efficiencies on bias current are compatible with sufficiently large hot-spot size, approaching the strip width.

1. Introduction

The first observation of single- and double- photon modes of operation of a superconducting singlephoton detector was demonstrated in [1]. When a photon hits a strip of superconductor a special area appears, called a hot spot. For estimation of hot spot dimensions in literature, a diffusion coefficient in normal state is used, which could lead to some inaccuracies. Direct method of hot spot sizes measurement, was introduced by Renema et al. [2]. They used nanowires with width from 100 nm to 400 nm. But nonuniform distribution of the current and absence of saturation of detection efficiency (DE) makes the interpretation difficult. After that Elezov et al. [3] used a nanowire with length of 500 nm, but the impact of double-photon counts was comparably small. Afterwards, in our work [4] a samples on waveguides with different width from 80 to 280 nm, were fabricated. Number of absorbed photons is known exactly (due to 100% internal DE and 100% absorption). But the total length of 80-280 nm, was yet too long. Previously we reported a usage of our protocol to specially fabricated samples of NbN [5]. The interaction length for hot spots was obtained and was equal to 70 nm. In this work we apply our method to the samples of made of MoSi films, and compare results with the obtained previously on NbN samples.

2. Experimental results

We have measured the dependences of the probability of count on the mean number of photons absorbed from the laser pulse for the sample made of MoSi film. The fabrication root was the same as described [5]. The sample has width (w) of 100 nm and length of 2 um. Then we processed the data using our protocol of quantum tomography [4], to extract the dependence of the internal double-spot detection efficiency (IDE2) on the bias current I and the hot-spot interaction length s.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1



Figure 1. Single- and double-spot internal detection efficiency versus the critical current (Ic) normalized to the deparing current (Idep). Red dots correspond to NbN, green dots to MoSi; squares correspond to single-spot counts, circles to double-spot counts.

Figure 1. shows plot of IDE2(I), together with the single-spot internal detection efficiency IDE(I) for the same sample, measured directly at small mean number of photons, and the pair of IDE, IDE2 for the NbN sample with the same dimensions measured in our previous work [5]. To facilitate comparison between IDE's and samples, we normalized I to the depairing current Idep calculated for MoSi and NbN samples using standard expression of the Usadel theory. Also, we normalized IDE's to their values at saturation. One sees that the detector made of MoSi film show saturation of IDE and IDE2 at a lower I/Idep value than the detector made of NbN film, which is consistent with the idea that hot spots in MoSi are more efficient than hot spots in NbN, because of their greater size and 'depth' [6]. The value of s for MoSi sample turned out to be about 70 nm, which within the measurement accuracy coincides with s in NbN sample and with the width of the sample. These finding is consistent with the sample, in which case one expects s \approx w [5], and also with the estimate on the hot-spot size d \approx 50 nm [6]..

3. Discussion

If we compare the behavior the IDE(I) (green square for MoSi film and red open square for NbN film) and IDE2(I) behavior (green circles for MoSi and open red circles for NbN strips), then we can notice that for each of the samples its IDE2(I) grows steeper than IDE(I).

Following [7], the finite width of the region where IDE(I) turns from IDE << 1 to IDE ≈ 1 , is because of the dependence of the 'detection current' Idet on the position of the center of the hot spot with respect to the edge of the strip. The greater the hot spot compared to w, the slower the dependence. To give an insight why this is so, note that in the limit of the hot spot diameter greater than the w strip, the position and the shape of the hot spot depends very weakly on the position of its

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

nominal center (i.e. the point there the photon was absorbed), hence Idet is just independent on this position. At this, the dependency IDE(I) would look like step function: at I < Idet - probability of detection is zero, at I > Idet - probability of detection is one. In the case when the hot spot is very small the dependence IDE(I) is smeared and looks like sigmoidal function. The same argumentation can be applied also to double-spot counts and to IDE2(I), with rough replacement of the single spot size to the size of two spots. Hence for the spot with the size $d \le w$ one expects steeper growth of IDE2(I) with current than of IDE(I). Basing on this, we suppose that behaviour, which we can observe in the figure 1, correspond for the case, when the hot spot size is large enough but does not exceed the width of the strip.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, our data on MoSi sample, in comparison with NbN sample, indicate that hot spot in NbN is big and the MoSi hot spot is even bigger but never the less is less than width of the strip. With the additional study obtained dependencies, it will the possible to compare the hot spot interaction length, obtained by our method, with the hot spot size.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by RSF grant # 17-72-30036.

References

- [1] Goltsman G et al. 2001 Picosecond superconducting single-photon optical detector Applied Physics Letters vol 79 pp 705–707.
- [2] Renema et al. 2017 Probing the hot-spot interaction length in NbN nanowire superconducting single photon detectors Applied Physics Letters vol 110 no 23 p 233103
- [3] Elezov M et al. 2013 Investigating the detection regimes of a superconducting single-photon detector Journal of Optical Technology vol 80 no 7 pp 435-438
- [4] Polyakova M et al. 2019 Protocol of Measuring Hot-Spot Correlation Length for SNSPDs with Near-Unity Detection Efficiency IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity vol 29 pp 8–12
- [5] Polyakova M et al. 2019 Extracting hot-spot correlation length from SNSPD tomography data Journal of Physics: Conference Series vol 1410 p 012166
- [6] Vodolazov D 2017 Theory of single photon detection by 'dirty' current-carrying superconducting strip based on the kinetic equation approach Physical Review Applied vol 7 p 034014
- [7] Zotova A and Vodolazov D 2012 Photon detection by current-carrying superconducting film: A time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau approach. Physical Review B vol 85 no 2 p 024509.