# On $\beta$ -function of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric integrable 2D sigma models

Based on M. Alfimov and A. Litvinov, JHEP01(2022)043 and M. Alfimov, I. Kalinichenko and A. Litvinov, arXiv:2311.14187

Mikhail Alfimov, HSE University, Moscow Engineering-Physical Institute and Lebedev Physical Institute RAS

Gebze Technical University, Gebze, Turkey, January 2, 2024

#### Motivation

- ▶ The  $\beta$ -functions in QFT are known to depend on the renormalization scheme.
- In QFT's with one coupling constant we can make the  $\beta$ -function 2-loop exact (for example, in  $\varphi^4$  theory).
- ▶ In QFT's with two or more couplings it is not known in general, whether and how it is possible to achieve such a simple form.
- It is particularly interesting to study integrable deformations of 2-dimensional sigma models, for example,  $\eta$ -deformed O(N) ones with two couplings as they admit so-called dual description in terms of Toda-like theories.
- We know the  $\beta$ -function for 2-dimensional sigma models up to 4-loop order in supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric case and how it varies under scheme changes.
- For D=2 target space there are much less different tensor structures and we have a hope to obtain a particularly simple expression for the  $\beta$ -function in some scheme.
- We know some conjectured all-loop metrics in a certain scheme for  $\eta$  and 2-loop ones for  $\lambda$ -deformed models (Hoare et al.'19), so it could be possible to find a simple expression for higher-loop  $\beta$ -functions.

# $\beta$ -function in the $\varphi^4$ theory

ightharpoonup Consider the  $\phi^4$  scalar QFT

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{g}{4!} \varphi^4 \ .$$

ightharpoonup eta-function in  $4-\epsilon$  dimensions ('t Hooft'72) is known up to 6-loop order (Kompaniets et al.'16) in the minimal subtraction scheme ('t Hooft'73). The expression at the 2-loop order

$$\dot{g} = -\beta(g), \quad \beta(g) = -\epsilon g + 3g^2 - \frac{17g^3}{3} + \mathcal{O}(g^4) \ .$$

 Change of regularization scheme can be effectively understood as a change of the coupling

$$g \to \tilde{g}(g) = g + \xi_1 g^2 + \xi_2 g^3 + \mathcal{O}(g^4)$$
.

▶ The  $\beta$ -function transforms as the vector field

$$\dot{\tilde{g}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial g} \dot{g} \to \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{g}) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{g}(g)}{\partial g}\right)^{-1} \beta(\tilde{g}(g)),$$

where

$$\dot{g} = \frac{dg}{dt}$$

and t is the logarithm of the renormalization scale.

# Normal form of the $\beta$ -function in the $\varphi^4$ theory

For the  $\beta$ -function, which starts from  $g^2$ , corresponding to marginal perturbation, one has

$$\beta(g) = A_1 g^2 + A_2 g^3 + A_3 g^4 + \mathcal{O}(g^5) \to \to \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{g}) = A_1 \tilde{g}^2 + A_2 \tilde{g}^3 + (A_3 + A_2 \xi_1 + A_1 (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2)) \tilde{g}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{g}^5) .$$

- ▶ The first two coefficients are scheme independent.
- By choosing

$$\xi_1 = \frac{A_3}{A_2} \; , \quad \xi_2 = \xi_1^2$$

we can make the  $\beta$ -function at the 3rd order to be 0.

▶ Tuning the renormalization parameters  $\xi_k$ , one can always find the *normal form* of the  $\beta$ -function, i.e. the scheme, in which the  $\beta$ -function is 2-loop exact

$$\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{g}) = A_1 \tilde{g}^2 + A_2 \tilde{g}^3 .$$

## Sigma models in 2 dimensions

▶ We study 2-dimensional sigma models

$$S[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int G_{ij}(\mathbf{X}) \partial_a X^i \partial_a X^j d^2 \sigma .$$

ightharpoonup The metric  $G_{ij}(m{X})$  also depends on some parameters treated as coupling constants, which vary with the scale according to RG flow equation

$$\dot{G}_{ij} + \nabla_i V_j + \nabla_j V_i = -\beta_{ij}(G) .$$

▶ The metric β-function  $β_{ij}(G)$  admits the covariant loop expansion

$$\beta_{ij}(G) = \beta_{ij}^{(1)}(G) + \beta_{ij}^{(2)}(G) + \beta_{ij}^{(3)}(G) + \dots,$$

where L-th loop order  $\beta$ -function coefficient  $\beta^L_{ij}$  belongs to the finite dimensional space of tensors with given scaling properties.

It is convenient to have in mind that the metric is proportional to the inverse of the Planck constant, which implies the following scaling for basic tensors

$$G_{ij} \sim \hbar^{-1} \rightarrow G^{ij} \sim \hbar \; , \; \Gamma^k_{ij} \sim \hbar^0 \; , \; \nabla_i \sim \hbar^0 \; , \; R_{ijk}^{\quad l} \sim \hbar^0 \; , \; R_{ij} \sim \hbar^0 \; , \; R \sim \hbar \; .$$

## $\beta$ -function of 2-dimensional sigma model

▶ The 1-loop  $\beta$ -function is proportional to the Ricci curvature

$$\beta_{ij}^{(1)} = R_{ij} ,$$

and the corresponding RG equation is the celebrated Ricci flow equation.

▶ Higher loop coefficients  $\beta_{ij}^{(L)}$  have been calculated in the minimal subtraction scheme: in 2 loops in (Friedan'80)

$$\beta_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} R_{iklm} R_j^{\ klm} \ . \label{eq:betail}$$

▶ in 3 loops in (Graham'87, Foakes, Mohammedi'87)

$$\begin{split} \beta_{ij}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{8} \nabla_k R_{ilmn} \nabla^k R_j^{\ lmn} - \frac{1}{16} \nabla_i R_{klmn} \nabla_j R^{klmn} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{imnk} R_{jpq}^{\ k} R^{mqnp} - \frac{3}{8} R_{iklj} R^{kmnp} R^l_{\ mnp} \;. \end{split}$$

- ▶ Also the 4-loop result has been obtained in (Jack et al.'89).
- ▶ The higher loop coefficients  $\beta_{ij}^{(L)}$  for L>1 are scheme dependent. They are related by covariant metric redefinitions

$$G_{ij} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{ij} = G_{ij} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_{ij}^{(k)}$$
,

where  $G_{ij}^{(k)}$  is of the order  $\hbar^k$ .

## $\beta$ -function for D=2 sigma models

The β-function for the SM with two-dimensional target space is significantly simplified

$$\begin{split} \beta_{ij}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2}RG_{ij} \;, \\ \beta_{ij}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}R^2G_{ij} \;, \\ \beta_{ij}^{(3)} &= \left(\frac{5}{32}R^3 + \frac{1}{16}(\nabla R)^2\right)G_{ij} - \frac{1}{16}\nabla_i R\nabla_j R \;, \\ \beta_{ij}^{(4)} &= \left(\frac{23}{192}R^4 + \frac{2+\zeta(3)}{32}R^2\nabla^2 R + \frac{41+12\zeta(3)}{192}R(\nabla R)^2 + \frac{1}{192}(\nabla^2 R)^2 + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{192}(\nabla_i \nabla_j R)^2\right)G_{ij} - \frac{\zeta(3)}{48}R^2\nabla_i \nabla_j R - \frac{25+8\zeta(3)}{192}R\nabla_i R\nabla_j R - \frac{1}{96}(\nabla^2 R)\nabla_i \nabla_j R \;. \end{split}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Covariant metric redefinition is determined by several tensor structures at every order of  $\hbar$ 

$$\begin{split} G_{ij}^{(0)} &= c_1 R G_{ij} \;, \\ G_{ij}^{(1)} &= \left( c_2 R^2 + c_3 \nabla^2 R \right) G_{ij} + c_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j R \;, \\ G_{ij}^{(2)} &= \left( c_5 R^3 + c_6 \left( \nabla R \right)^2 + c_7 R \nabla^2 R + c_8 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 R \right) G_{ij} + \\ &+ c_9 \nabla_i R \nabla_j R + c_{10} R \nabla_i \nabla_j R + c_{11} \nabla_i \nabla_j \nabla^2 R \end{split}$$

and so on.

## $\beta$ -function for D=2 sigma models in different schemes I

▶ Up to a diffeomorphism transformation after covariant redefinition metric satisfies the RG flow equation

$$\dot{\tilde{G}}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{V}_j + \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{V}_i = -\tilde{\beta}_{ij}(\tilde{G})$$

with some vector field  $\tilde{V}_i$  and transformed  $\tilde{\beta}_{ij}$ .

- ▶ 1-loop  $\beta$ -function  $\beta_{ij}^{(1)}$  is obviously scheme-independent.
- Perturbation of the left hand side

$$\dot{G}_{ij} = \dot{G}_{ij} + \dot{G}_{ij}^{(0)} + \dots = \dot{G}_{ij} + c_1(\dot{R}G_{ij} + R\dot{G}_{ij}) + \dots =$$

$$= \dot{G}_{ij} + \frac{c_1}{2}\Delta RG_{ij} + \dots = -\frac{1}{2}RG_{ij} + \left(-\frac{1}{4}R^2 + \frac{c_1}{2}\Delta R\right)G_{ij} + \dots$$

Right hand side takes the form

$$\tilde{\beta}_{ij}(\tilde{G}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}\tilde{G}_{ij} + \left(\tilde{b}_{1}^{(2)}\tilde{R}^{2} + \tilde{b}_{2}^{(2)}\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{R}\right)\tilde{G}_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{3}^{(2)}\tilde{\nabla}_{i}\tilde{\nabla}_{j}\tilde{R} + \dots =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}RG_{ij} + \left(\tilde{b}_{1}^{(2)}R^{2} + \left(\tilde{b}_{2}^{(2)} - \frac{c_{1}}{2}\right)\Delta R\right)G_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{3}^{(2)}\nabla_{i}\nabla_{j}R + \dots$$

Comparing two previous expressions, we find that  $\tilde{b}_1^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\tilde{b}_2^{(2)}=0$  and  $\tilde{b}_3^{(2)}=0$ , therefore 2-loop  $\beta$ -function  $\beta_{ij}^{(2)}$  is also scheme-independent.

## $\beta$ -function for D=2 sigma models in different schemes II

 $\blacktriangleright$  Higher order contributions to the  $\beta\text{-function}$  depend on the scheme, starting from the 3-loop order

$$\begin{split} \beta_{ij}^{(3)} &= \left[ \left( \frac{5}{32} + \frac{c_1 - 2c_2}{4} \right) R^3 + \left( \frac{1}{16} - \frac{c_1 - 2c_2}{2} - (c_1^2 + c_3) \right) \left( \nabla R \right)^2 - \right. \\ &\left. - (c_1^2 + c_3) R \nabla^2 R \right] G_{ij} - \frac{1}{16} \nabla_i R \nabla_j R - \frac{c_4}{4} \nabla_i \nabla_j \left( 3R^2 + 2 \nabla^2 R \right) \; . \end{split}$$

Let us choose the covariant redefinition parameters to be

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{16} + \frac{c_1}{2}$$
,  $c_3 = -\frac{c_1^2}{2}$ .

We found the combination of the scheme change parameters, for which the β-function up to the 4-loop order is given by

$$\beta_{ij} = \left(\frac{R}{2} + \frac{R^2}{4} + \frac{3R^3}{16} + \frac{5R^4}{32} + \frac{2+\zeta_3}{64} \nabla^2 \left(R^3 + 2R\nabla^2 R - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 R^2\right) + \dots\right) G_{ij} - \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{5R}{32} + \dots\right) \nabla_i R \nabla_j R + \dots$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  One can notice that parts of this expression without  $\zeta_3$  are the expansion of

$$\frac{RG_{ij}}{2(1-R)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{16(1-R)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \nabla_i R \nabla_j R.$$

#### "All-loop" "sausage" metric

- In (Fateev et al.'93) there was obtained the solution of 1-loop RG flow equation, which was later identified as semiclassical  $\eta$ -deformed O(3) metric (Hoare et al.'14) (also classically integrable (Lukyanov'12)).
- All-loop metric, however, in different scheme, was conjectured in (Hoare et al.'19).
- ► The metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{2\kappa}{\hbar} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\hbar\kappa\cos^2\theta}{1 - \kappa^2\sin^2\theta}\right) d\theta^2 + \cos^2\theta d\chi^2}{1 - \kappa^2\sin^2\theta} ,$$

where the new couplings  $\hbar$  and  $\kappa$  satisfy the following flow equations

$$\dot{h} = 0, \quad \dot{\kappa} = \frac{\hbar(\kappa^2 - 1)}{2\left((1 - \hbar\kappa)(1 - \hbar\kappa^{-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and vector field has the form

$$V = \frac{\hbar}{\rho} \left\{ \frac{\kappa(\kappa^2 - 1)\sin 2\theta}{4(1 - \kappa^2\sin^2\theta)^2}, \frac{\cos^2\theta}{1 - \kappa^2\sin^2\theta} \right\}, \quad \rho \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \sqrt{(1 - \hbar\kappa)(1 - \hbar\kappa^{-1})} \; .$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  We note that differential equation for  $\kappa$  is uniformized by  $\rho$ 

$$\left(\frac{1-\rho-\hbar}{1+\rho-\hbar}\right)^{1-\hbar} \left(\frac{1+\rho+\hbar}{1-\rho+\hbar}\right)^{1+\hbar} = e^{2\hbar(t-t_0)} ,$$

which resembles the integral equation from (Fateev'19).

#### "All-loop" $\lambda$ model metric

▶ There exists a solution to the 1-loop RG flow equation without any isometries

$$ds^2 = \frac{2}{\hbar} \frac{\kappa dp^2 + \kappa^{-1} dq^2}{1 - p^2 - q^2} \,, \quad \text{where} \quad \kappa = \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \,.$$

This metric is one-loop renormalizable with  $\kappa$  running according to the leading in  $\hbar$  order of and the vector field given by

$$V_p = \frac{p}{1 - p^2 - q^2}$$
,  $V_q = \frac{q}{1 - p^2 - q^2}$ .

We propose an ħ completion which is also two-loop exact similar to the all-loop "sausage" action

$$ds^{2} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\left(\kappa - \hbar\right) dp^{2} + \left(\kappa^{-1} - \hbar\right) dq^{2}}{1 - p^{2} - q^{2}} - \hbar \frac{\left(pdp + qdq\right)^{2}}{\left(1 - p^{2} - q^{2}\right)^{2}} \right).$$

supplemented by the following vector field

$$V_p = \frac{p\left(\frac{1-\hbar\kappa}{1-\hbar\kappa^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-p^2-q^2} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar}{2\kappa} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1-\kappa^2}{1-\hbar\kappa}\right)q}{1-p^2-q^2}\right), \quad V_q = \{p \leftrightarrow q, \kappa \to \kappa^{-1}\}.$$

Surprisingly, the parameter  $\kappa$  satisfies the same RG flow differential equation as for the  $\eta$ -deformed model.

#### Intermediate conclusions and further motivation

Conclusions about the D=2 targer space:

- We found the renormalization scheme, in which the expression for the 4-loop  $\beta$ -function for D=2 sigma models is particularly simple.
- It was shown to be connected to the  $\beta$ -function in the minimal subtraction scheme in the first 4 loop orders by some covariant metric redefinition.
- We found the 4-loop solution to RG flow equation, corresponding to the  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ -deformed O(3) sigma model, for which there exists the following invariant

$$R^{3} + 2R\nabla^{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}R^{2} = \hbar^{3}(\kappa - \kappa^{-1})^{2}(\kappa + \kappa^{-1}).$$

These results could be generalized in the further directions:

- We would like to generalize obtained results for higher dimensional target spaces.
- ▶ The higher loop  $\beta$ -functions are in general quite complicated for this case, however, in the supersymmetric space they are much simpler.
- ightharpoonup We confine ourselves to the  $\mathcal{N}=2$  supersymmetric sigma models.
- In this case supersymmetry dictates the metric to be Kähler.

## β-function of 2-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model

 $\blacktriangleright$  The target space manifold is Käehler if there exists a tensor  $f_{\ i}^{j}$  (the complex structure), which satisfies

$$f_{\,k}^{\,i}f_{\,\,j}^{\,k} = -\delta_{\,\,j}^{\,i}\,\,,\quad G_{ij}f_{\,\,k}^{\,i}f_{\,\,i}^{\,j} = G_{kl}\,\,,\quad \nabla_{i}f_{\,\,k}^{\,j} = 0\,\,,$$

These equations imply that the target space manifold can be covered with complex charts and in these coordinates

$$g_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\bar{\nu}}K = \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\bar{\nu}}K.$$

- ▶ The  $\beta$ -function is known up to 4 loops in the  $\mathcal{N}=1$  case (Alvarez-Gaumé, Freedman, Mukhi'81, Alvarez-Gaumé'81, Grisaru, van de Ven, Zanon'86) and up to 5 loops in the  $\mathcal{N}=2$  case (Grisaru, Kazakov, Zanon'87).
- In the minimal subtraction scheme the  $\beta$ -function has the form

$$\beta_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\bar{\nu}}\Delta K + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4)$$

where

$$\Delta K = \frac{\zeta(3)}{24} R_{ijkl} R^i_{\ mn}^{\ l} \left( R^{jnmk} + R^{jkmn} \right) \, . \label{eq:deltaK}$$

In terms of Kähler potential the RG flow equation takes the form

$$\dot{K} = -\beta_K$$
,

where the Kähler  $\beta$ -function is given by

$$\beta_K = -\frac{1}{2} \log \det g + \Delta K + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4).$$

## $\beta$ -function of 2-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model II

 $\blacktriangleright$  General change of scheme which preserves  $\mathcal{N}=2$  supersymmetry corresponds to the following change of the Kähler potential

$$K \to K + c_1 \log \det g + c_2 R + \underbrace{c_3 R^2 + c_4 \big(R_{ijkl}\big)^2 + c_5 \big(R_{ij}\big)^2 + c_6 \nabla^2 R}_{4 \text{ scalars of order } \hbar^2} + \underbrace{c_7 R^3 + \dots + c_{23} \nabla^2 \nabla^2 R}_{17 \text{ scalars of order } \hbar^3} + \underbrace{c_8 R^4 + \dots + c_{99} \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 R}_{92 \text{ scalars of order } \hbar^4} + \dots$$

- ▶ To understand how the Kähler  $\beta$ -function changes in different schemes, we need to find the variations of the left and right hand sides of the RG flow equation.
- ► This requires translating the Kähler potential variation into the corresponding variation of metric, namely, deriving the formula

$$2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\bar{\nu}}\Phi dz^{\mu}d\bar{z}^{\bar{\nu}} = T^{\Phi}_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}.$$

► The structures we are interested in

$$\begin{split} T_{ij}^{\log \det g} &= -2R_{ij}, \quad T_{ij}^R = \nabla^2 R_{ij} + 2R_{ikjl}R^{kl} - 2R_{ik}R_j^k \,, \\ T_{ij}^{R_{ij}^2} &= 2\nabla^k R_{il}\nabla_k R_j^{\ l} - 2\nabla^k R_{il}\nabla^l R_{jk} + \nabla_i R_{kl}\nabla^k R_j^{\ l} + \nabla_j R_{kl}\nabla^k R_i^{\ l} + \\ &+ 2R^{kl}\nabla_k \nabla_l R_{ij} + 4R_{kilj}R^k_{\ m}R^{lm} + 2R_{klmi}R^k_{\ j}R^{lm} + 2R_{klmj}R^k_{\ i}R^{lm} \,, \\ T_{ij}^{\nabla^2 R} &= \nabla^2 T_{ij}^R - \frac{1}{2} \left( R_i^{\ k} T_{kj}^R + R_j^{\ k} T_{ki}^R \right) + R_i^{\ k}_{\ j}^{\ l} T_{kl}^R \,. \end{split}$$

## β-function of 2-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model III

▶ We consider the following change of scheme

$$K \to K + c_1 \log \det g + c_2 R + c_3 (R_{ij})^2 + c_4 \nabla^2 R$$
,

which can be written in terms of the metric as

$$g_{ij} \to g_{ij} + c_1 T_{ij}^{\log \det g} + c_2 T_{ij}^R + c_3 T_{ij}^{R_{ij}^2} + c_4 T_{ij}^{\nabla^2 R}$$
.

Taking

$$c_2 = -c_1^2 \,, \quad c_3 = -\frac{2c_1^3}{3} - \frac{5\zeta(3)}{48} \quad \text{and} \quad c_4 = -\frac{c_1^3}{3} - \frac{\zeta(3)}{48} \,,$$

one shows that in the new scheme the Kähler potential satisfies

$$\dot{K} = \frac{1}{2} \log \det g - \Delta \tilde{K} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4),$$

 $\triangleright$  The  $\beta$ -function in the new scheme is represented by the formula

$$\Delta \tilde{K} = \frac{\zeta(3)}{24} \left( 5R^{ij}R^{kl}R_{ikjl} + R_{ik}{}^{mn}R^{ijkl}R_{jmln} - R_{im}{}^{kn}R^{ij}{}_{kl}R_{jn}{}^{lm} + \frac{1}{2}R_{ij}\nabla^{i}\nabla^{j}R + R^{ij}\nabla^{2}R_{ij} - \frac{3}{2}\nabla_{k}R_{ij}\nabla^{k}R^{ij} \right).$$

## Metrics of complete T-dual to $\eta$ -deformed $\mathbb{CP}(n-1)$ model

▶ The  $\eta-{\sf deformed}\ SU(n)/SU(n-1)\otimes U(1)$  sigma-model has the form (Klimcik'08, Delduc, Vicedo'13)

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\kappa}{2\hbar} \int \text{Tr} \left( \left( \mathbf{g} \partial_{+} \mathbf{g}^{-1} \right)^{(c)} \, \frac{1}{1 - i \kappa \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} \circ P_{c}} \, \left( \mathbf{g} \partial_{-} \mathbf{g}^{-1} \right)^{(c)} \right) d^{2} x \,,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the Drinfeld-Jimbo solution to the modified YB equation  $\mathbf{g} \in SU(n)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} = \operatorname{Ad} \mathbf{g} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \operatorname{Ad} \mathbf{g}^{-1}$  and  $\operatorname{P}_{\operatorname{c}}$  is the projector on the coset space.

 The model is known to be one loop renormalizable with only one running coupling (Hoare'21)

$$\dot{\kappa} = \frac{c_2(G)\hbar(\kappa^2 - 1)}{4} \,,$$

where  $c_2(G)$  is the dual Coxeter number of G (G = SU(n) in our case).

In this case  $C_2(G) = n$  and the solution of the previous equation has the form

$$\kappa = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{nh}{2}t}}{1 + e^{\frac{n\hbar}{2}t}}.$$

## Metrics of complete T-dual to $\eta$ -deformed $\mathbb{CP}(n-1)$ model $\Pi$

- ▶ The theory above contains both the metric and the B-field (except for the case of n=2).
- ▶ However the T-duality along all the isometric directions (complete T-dual) eliminates the B-field completely and, moreover, it has been shown that the corresponding geometry is Kähler (Litvinov'19, Bykov, Lust'20).
- The metric has a nice form of the flat metric perturbed by the "graviton-like" exponential terms

$$ds^2 = |d\mathbf{z}|^2 + \frac{2}{e^{nt} - 1} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{h}_k \cdot d\mathbf{z})^2, \quad \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y},$$

where  $h_k$  are the weights for  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and

$$f_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{l=1}^n e^{lt} e^{((\boldsymbol{\alpha}_k + \dots + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k+l-1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{x})},$$

where  $\alpha_k$  are the simple roots for  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ .

## SU(3)/U(2) $\lambda$ -model example

▶ The action of the G/H  $\lambda-$ model has the form (here  $g \in G$ )

$$S = \int \operatorname{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{2} (g^{-1} \partial g) (g^{-1} \bar{\partial} g) + J (\operatorname{Ad}_g - 1 + \lambda \mathbb{P})^{-1} \bar{J} \right) d^2 \xi + S_{WZ},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the projection on the coset space, in our case SU(3)/U(2), and

$$J = g^{-1} \cdot \partial g$$
,  $\bar{J} = \bar{\partial} g \cdot g^{-1}$ .

In the case we are interested in the metric takes the form

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{e^{\beta}(-ie^{\gamma} + e^{2\alpha}\lambda)(e^{2\alpha} - ie^{\gamma}\lambda)}{2(e^{\alpha} - e^{\beta})(e^{\alpha} - e^{\beta+\gamma+\delta})(-1+\lambda^{2})}d\alpha^{2} + \dots,$$

where  $\lambda$  depends on RG time t.

- It is well known that  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$  deformations of G/H sigma-model are related by Poisson-Lie duality with respect to group G and certain analytic continuation (Hoare, Seibold'17).
- There is another relation between two models noticed (Hoare, Tseytlin'15) for SO(N+1)/SO(N). Namely, taking certain infinite limits of the  $\lambda-$ model one recovers the complete abelian T-dual of the  $\eta-$ model.

## Conclusions and open problems

- We found the renormalization scheme, in which the expression for the 4-loop  $\beta$ -function for  $\mathcal{N}=2$  supersymmetric sigma models is particularly simple.
- ▶ It was shown to be connected to the Käehler β-function in the minimal subtraction scheme in the first 4 loop orders by some covariant metric redefinition.
- ▶ We found the 4-loop solution to RG flow equation, corresponding to the  $\eta$ -deformed  $\mathbb{CP}(n)$  sigma model and  $\lambda$ -deformed SU(3)/U(2) sigma model, which was also shown to contain the  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$  as some special limit.
- Natural assumption would be that there exists the renormalization scheme, in which the solution of the RG flow equation is 1-loop exact at higher orders. This can be tested at the 5th loop order.
- Generalize the obtained results for higher dimensional non-supersymmetric sigma model target spaces.

Thanks for your attention!