

The Revealed Preference Lattice and The Lattice of Stable Matchings

Ahmet Alkan

HSE

October 28, 2024

Representation of Individuals/Agents
in
Many to Many matching markets

Definitions

Consider an individual described by a **CHOICE FN** C on a universal set U :

$$C(T) \subset T \quad \forall T \subset U$$

and call $Z \equiv$ Image of C the **CONSUMPTION SET**.

The **REVEALED PREFERENCE BINARY RELATION** on Z is defined as:

$$S \succ S' \iff C(S \cup S') = S \quad \text{for } S, S' \in Z.$$

C is **path independent PI** if

$$C(T \cup T') = C(C(T) \cup T') \quad \text{for } T, T' \in U.$$

C satisfies **SUBSTITUTABILITY** if:

$$T' \subset T \text{ and } a \in C(T) \cap T' \implies a \in C(T').$$

C satisfies **CONSISTENCY** if:

$$T' \subset T \text{ and } C(T) \subset T' \implies C(T) = C(T').$$

Aizerman Malishevsky: $PI \iff$ **SUBST & CONS.**

Theorem

The revealed preference relation \succ of a path independent choice function on $Z \equiv \text{Image of } C$ is a lattice, where:

$$S \vee S' = C(S \cup S')$$

$$S \wedge S' = C(\overline{S} \cap \overline{S'})$$

and \overline{S} is the largest superset of S such that $C(\overline{S}) = S$.

Proof

① \succ is a partial order:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \succ S_2 \text{ and } S_2 \succ S_3 &\implies C(S_1 \cup S_3) = C(C(S_1 \cup S_2) \cup S_3) \\ &= C(S_1 \cup C(S_2 \cup S_3)) = C(S_1 \cup S_2) = S_1 \end{aligned}$$

② If $C(S_1 \cup S_2) \equiv S^*$ and $S^{**} \succ S_1, S^{**} \succ S_2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} C(S^{**} \cup S^*) &= C(S^{**} \cup C(S_1 \cup S_2)) \\ &= C(S^{**} \cup S_1 \cup S_2) = S^{**} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (Z, \succ) is a lattice.

Proof (continued)

③ $S \leftrightarrow \bar{S}$ is one-to-one:

$$C(S') = S \text{ and } C(S'') = S \implies C(S' \cup S'' \cup S) = S$$

④ $\bar{S} \cap \bar{S}'$ is a closed set:

$$\implies a \notin \bar{S} \cap \bar{S}' \implies a \notin \bar{S} \text{ wlog}$$

$$\text{i.e., } a \in C(a \cup S) = C(a \cup \bar{S}) \implies a \in C(a \cup \bar{S} \cup \hat{S}')$$

by SUBST.

Thus, (Z, C) is a lattice.

⑤ $Z \leftrightarrow \bar{Z}$ is a lattice isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S} \subset \bar{S}' &\implies C(S \cup S') = C(C(\bar{S}) \cup C(\bar{S}')) \\ &= C(\bar{S}') = S' \implies S' \succ S \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S \wedge S' = C(\bar{S} \cup \bar{S}')$.

Many to Many Matching Market

$$(F, W; (C_f), (C_w))$$

A matching is any subset of $F \times W$.

A matching μ is **STABLE** if there is no **BLOCKING PAIR** (f, w) , i.e., no $(f, w) \notin \mu$ such that:

$$w \in C_f(\mu(f) \cup w) \text{ and } f \in C_w(\mu(w) \cup f)$$

(in words: no $(f, w) \notin \mu$ such that f likes w at μ and w likes f at μ .)

Many to Many Matching Market

EXISTENCE: When C_f, C_w are path independent, the Gale-Shapley Deferred Acceptance Procedure gives a stable matching.

Group Preferences

A matching μ is **F-preferred** to μ' , $\mu \geq_F \mu'$, if every f "prefers" $\mu(f)$ to $\mu'(f)$ or $\mu(f) = \mu'(f)$,

that is, when C_f, C_w are path independent, if:

$$C_f(\mu(f) \cup \mu'(f)) = \mu(f) \quad \text{for every } f \in F.$$

The One to One Matching Market

Theorem (Conway-Knuth). The set of all stable matchings is a (distributive) lattice under \geq_F or \geq_W , where

$$\mu \bigvee_F \mu' = (\mu(f) \vee_f \mu'(f)) = \mu^F$$

$$\mu \bigwedge_F \mu' = (\mu(f) \wedge_f \mu'(f)) = \mu^F$$

and $\mu^F = \mu^W$.

Back to Many to Many

Assume C_f, C_w are **path independent** $(F, W, (\succ_f), (\succ_w))$. Assume in addition C_f, C_w are **size monotone** i.e., $|C(T)| \leq |C(T')|$ if $T \subset T'$.

Theorem: The set of all stable matchings is a distributive lattice under \geq_F (or \geq_W) where

$$\mu \bigvee_F \mu' = C_f(\mu(f) \cup \mu'(f)) = (\mu(f) \vee_f \mu'(f)) = \mu^F$$

$$\mu \bigwedge_F \mu' = C_f(\overline{\mu(f)} \cap \overline{\mu'(f)}) = (\mu(f) \wedge_f \mu'(f)) = \mu_F$$

and $\mu^F = \mu_W$.

$$S_1 \wedge S_2 \supset S_1 \cap \overline{S_2}$$

Proof:

$$\overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2} \subset \overline{S_1}$$

$$C(\overline{S_1}) = S_1$$

by **SUBST**: $C(\overline{S_1}) \cap (\overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2}) \subset C(\overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2})$

$$\Rightarrow S_1 \cap \overline{S_2} \subset S_1 \wedge S_2$$

Proof

Take any $\mu, \mu' \in \Sigma$.

- $\mu^F \subset \mu_W$

Say $fw \in \mu^F$.

If $w \in \mu(f) \cap \mu'(f)$ then $f \in \mu(w) \cap \mu'(w)$.

If $w \in \mu(f) - \mu'(f)$ then by **SUBST**, f likes w at μ' .

So by **STABILITY**, w doesn't like f at μ .

So $f \in \mu'(w)$.

So, $f \in \mu(w) \cap \mu'(w)$

$f \in \mu(w) \vee_w \mu'(w)$

$fw \in \mu_W$

By Meet Lemma.

- $|\mu^F| \leq |\mu_W| \leq |\mu^W| \leq |\mu_F| \leq |\mu^F|$

By the • above and by size monotonicity,

so $\mu^F = \mu_W$.

Proof (continued)

- μ^F is individually rational.
- μ^F is stable:

say $fw \notin \mu^F = \mu_W$ and f likes w at μ^F .

By **SUBST**, f likes w at μ and at μ' .

By **STABILITY**, w does not like f at μ or μ' ,

i.e., $f \in \overline{\mu(w)} \cap \overline{\mu'(w)}$.

$$C(\mu_W \cup f) = C\left(C_W\left(\overline{\mu(w)} \cap \overline{\mu'(w)} \cup f\right)\right) =$$

$$C\left(\overline{\mu(w)} \cap \overline{\mu'(w)}\right) = \mu_W(w)$$

i.e., w does not like f at μ^F .

- Preference Ordering \rightarrow Choice Fn (PI)
Choice Fn \rightarrow Revealed Preference Relation (Lattice)
- Rationalizing Utility Fn
Utility Fn of 2^U maximization of which gives the Choice Fn
- Birkhoff Representation Theorem for Distributive Lattices
Rotations – generate the entire lattice
- Alkan Yildiz
Faenza *et al.*